

Ten Proposed Policies/Policy Amendment Drafts from MMFC EDA [2018]

1.

The existing section 73. Para 2 says:

We believe in the right and duty of parents to raise their own children responsibly according to their own conscience and beliefs. We believe no person, government or agency has the right to interfere in the exercise of that duty except through due process of law.

This was modestly adequate for the purpose until Premier Wynne passed a law saying Ontario could seize children whose parents did not support gender re-assignment.

This is the proposed change to S. 73 Para 2:

The Conservative Party recognizes the Family as the basic building block of civil society. The authority of parents to make decisions concerning the education of their children is an absolute right. It shall not be infringed except in extreme circumstances of neglect, abuse or failure to provide necessities of life. (50)

Rationale: Radical politicians and educators are busily trying to re-engineer a Society in which the State's authority overrides that of the family. Examples include: children, whose well-informed parents do not support gender re-assignment, being seized in Ontario. Radical Governments are imposing dysfunctional sex education requirements written by convicted pedophiles, on little children.

2.

J) Health Section 57 Health Care

The Conservative Party supports the rights of doctors, nurses, medical professionals, faith-based Institutions and others to refuse to participate in, or refer patients for abortion, assisted suicide, or euthanasia. (30)

Rationale: Freedom of conscience is a basic Human Right. This addition broadens the base of conscience protection, and, addresses concerns of faith-based health care delivery organizations regarding their collective freedom of conscience.

3.

The Conservative Party will encourage and enable Provinces and Territories to respect the dignity of human life by providing quality palliative care. (22)

Rationale: The introduction of medically assisted suicide has eroded respect for the dignity of human life. The right to die is quickly becoming a duty to die. Healthcare resources will be diverted from the development and provision of palliative care as demand decreases.

4.

S. 109 CANADIAN BROADCASTING SYSTEM Delete Para 1, 2 & 5. Replace 1 & 2 with

The Conservative Party will defund the CBC on a progressive basis, so that it can compete on its own abilities and competence. (22)

Rationale: Government has no business supporting one media outlet over others. The CBC has consistently shown a partisan bias in its content for many years. This is unfair to the millions of taxpayers who are forced to fund a media outlet which does not represent their views. Reforming the CBC is not feasible, given the entrenched bureaucracy there. Furthermore, their bloated ranks of producers (compared to privately-run media outlets) waste a great deal of taxpayer money.

5.

It appears the appropriate place to add this is under J) 65. Health

GENDER IDENTITY

J) Health New 65: The Conservative Party will outlaw gender surgery and gender hormone therapy for persons under the age of 18 years. An exception can be made where it is recommended by a qualified surgeon specialist, within 14 days of birth based on identifiable physical and hormonal imbalances identified at or near birth. (50)

Rationale: By age 19 up to 90% of persons struggling with gender dysphoria revert to their birth identity. Only .01% of babies are born with a physical/hormonal gender imbalance. Persons who have undergone gender re-allocation treatment suffer an eventual 41 to 46% suicide rate and require pharmaceutical support for a lifetime. Children cannot make irreversible lifetime decisions for their bodies. This proposal is somewhat similar to existing legislation in the UK.

6.

C15 FREEDOM OF SPEECH – addition of a new section

Origin Langley – Aldergrove EDA - slightly amended

The Conservative Party supports withholding federal funding to universities which do not defend free speech. (15)

Rationale: Free speech is necessary for democracies to remain free. CPC Leader Andrew Scheer won on a campaign promise to withhold funding from universities that do not defend free speech.

Suggested alteration first sentence “grants” changed to “funding” thus creating a broader application.

7.

The Conservative Party recognizes ownership and use of firearms for legitimate purposes including reasonable self defence. We also recognize government’s abuse of authority by regulating ownership out of existence through bureaucracy. Conservatives will implement policy that minimizes Government interference in firearm ownership whilst maintaining a balance with public safety. (49)

Rationale: It is an overt strategy of liberal governments in several western nations to tie firearms owners up in bureaucracy with the intention of dissuading citizens from owning firearms. For example, here in Canada, Bill C71 changes transport rules to require much additional and unnecessary paperwork. It solves a problem that does not exist, at significant extra expense. The ability to use proportionate force for self defence is a God-given human right, has its roots in ancient Common Law and is not infringed by the Charter.

The Conservative Party will deregulate firearms ownership consistent with public safety interests, remove firearms classifications from the RCMP, decriminalize certain offences such as storage technicalities, and delegate certain authorities to the Provinces. This will be done in consultation with stakeholders such as hunters, collectors, target shooters and responsible firearms owners. (50)

Rationale: The RCMP has abused its authority in the past with respect to retroactively re-classifying firearms lawfully purchased and owned. The storage regulations were specifically designed by a prior Liberal Government as a catch all to prevent use of firearms for self defence at home, even against an armed intruder, and have done nothing to improve public safety. Decriminalizing certain offences does not mean approval. These could be delegated to the Province/Territories who would be allowed to create Provincial sanctions that are non criminal in nature. Federal authorities have no ticketing system for minor offences, so they use a sledgehammer. Provincial Law has strict limits on applicable sanctions.

8.

S. 99 Para 1. I suggest we can satisfy most parties by inserting as follows (in red):

S.99 Para 1. A Conservative Government is committed to **minimally intrusive** cost effective gun control programs designed to keep guns out of the hands of criminals, while respecting the rights of law abiding Canadians to own and use firearms responsibly, **including for reasonable self defence, including defence from predatory animals in wilderness settings.**

Rationale:- It is a Liberal strategy to remove the right of self defence by artful use of regulation and bureaucracy. This is why self defence must be explicitly added to the policy. The possession and use of handguns is illegal when hunting. Experienced hunters know, however, that a handgun can be the only accessible form of firearm during an attack, especially when on horseback.

9.

S. 99 Para 2. Delete "safe storage provisions" (in red),

Measures will include: mandatory minimum sentences for the criminal use of firearms; strict monitoring of high risk individuals, increased enforcement and prosecution of smuggling; Safe storage provisions; firearms safety training; a certification screening system for all those wishing to acquire firearms legally; and putting more enforcement officers on our streets.

Rationale: Federal authorities do not have the ability to promote safe storage without criminalizing inadvertent or technical infractions of complicated regulations. Furthermore, the original legislation on firearm storage was in fact designed to make it more difficult to use a firearm for self defence. The RCMP were found to have used this regulation in High River AB in 2013 to justify 105 burglaries and 609 firearms seizures from law-abiding citizens. Authority to deal with storage could be delegated to the Provinces/Territories.

10.

S. 99 Para 7. Delete entire paragraph & insert:

The Conservative Party will deregulate firearms ownership consistent with public safety interests, remove firearms classifications from the RCMP, decriminalize certain offences such as storage technicalities, and delegate certain authorities to the Provinces. This will be done in consultation with stakeholders such as hunters, collectors, target shooters and responsible firearms owners. (50)

Rationale: The RCMP has abused its authority in the past with respect to retroactively re-classifying firearms lawfully purchased and owned. The storage regulations were specifically designed by a prior Liberal Government as a catch all, to prevent use of firearms for self defence at home, even against an armed intruder, and have done nothing to improve public safety. Decriminalizing certain offences does not mean approval.

These could be delegated to the Provinces/Territories who would be allowed to create sanctions that are non-criminal in nature and respect local culture and traditions.

11.

M) CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Proposed new section 109.

BORDER SECURITY – Origin MMFC EDA –

M) 109. The Conservative Party will enforce the laws of Canada passed by Parliament, and will extend policing authority for CBSA to the entire border and 30 km inland. All persons entering Canada unlawfully from a safe third country will be detained and repatriated forthwith without process. (41)

Rationale: Border enforcement has effectively eased under Executive Order since 2016. The authority of Parliament to regulate border security and immigration has been grossly undermined. CBSA authority is limited to the Port property. Acting on prior legal advice, illegal migrants, flagrantly violating Canada's border laws, are crossing the border within sight of CBSA Officers and cannot be intercepted by them. The RCMP, having appropriated millions for border enforcement, has signally failed to defend Canada's border, has diverted funds and designated staffing for other priorities, has denied the reasonable extension of jurisdiction to CBSA, seeing it as a competitor, and has acted against the interests of Canada by accepting orders from political masters not to lay charges. Border enforcement saves hundreds of millions in legal fees and welfare costs and reduces crime.